A. A. Grib*, Yu. V. Pavlov**

Black holes and particles with zero and negative energy

arXiv:1601.02592v1 [gr-qc] 11 Jan 2016

Properties of particles with zero and negative energy with non zero values of the angular momentum in the ergosphere of the rotating black hole are analysed. It is shown that the sign of the energy of the particle is defined by the angular velocity of its rotation in the ergosphere. A simple proof of the nonexistence of the extremal rotating black holes is given. The problem of the unbounded growth of the energy in the centre of mass frame of two colliding particles one of which or both have negative or zero energy is investigated.

Key words: black holes, Kerr's metric, particles with negative energy, particle collisions, geodesics.

1. Introduction

As it is known special geodesics on which particles with negative and zero energy (with nonzero angular momentum projection) can move are existing in the ergosphere of rotating black holes [1]–[3]. Such particles surely are not observed in external to the ergosphere region where only particles of positive relative to infinity energy can exist.

In this paper the systematic analysis of properties of such geodesics in the ergosphere of black hole is made and some limitations on values of the energy and angular momentum with any value of the energy are obtained. From these limitations the nonexistence of extremal black hole with critical proper angular momentum of rotation is proved.

It is also proved that geodesics of particles with zero energy in the ergosphere of the black hole as well as those for particles with negative energy (the proof was given before in [4]) originate inside the gravitational radius, arrive to the ergosphere and then go back inside the gravitational radius. Estimates for the angular velocities of particles with positive, negative and zero energies are obtained. In the last section the problem of the unlimited growth of energy in the centre of mass frame of two colliding particles for particles with negative and zero energies is investigated.

The system of units with gravitational constant and the light velocity $G = c = 1$ is used in the paper.

*Theoretical Physics and Astronomy Department of the Herzen University and A. Friedmann Laboratory for Theoretical Physics, Saint Petersburg, Russia,
E-mail: andrei_grib@mail.ru

**Institute of Problems in Mechanical Engineering of Russian Academy of Sciences and A. Friedmann Laboratory for Theoretical Physics, Saint Petersburg, Russia,
E-mail: yuri.pavlov@mail.ru

2. Geodesics in Kerr's metric

The Kerr's metric of the rotating black hole [5] in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates [6] is

$$ds^2 = \frac{\rho^2\Delta}{\Sigma^2}\,dt^2 - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\Sigma^2\,(d\varphi - \omega\,dt)^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}\,dr^2 - \rho^2 d\theta^2. \tag{1}$$

where

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta, \qquad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \tag{2}$$

$$\Sigma^2 = (r^2+a^2)^2 - a^2\sin^2\theta\,\Delta, \qquad \omega = \frac{2Mra}{\Sigma^2}, \tag{3}$$

M is the mass of the black hole, aM — angular momentum. We suppose $0 \le a \le M$. The event horizon for the Kerr's black hole is given by

$$r = r_H \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \tag{4}$$

The surface

$$r = r_C \equiv M - \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}. \tag{5}$$

is the Cauchy horizon. The surface of the static limit is defined by

$$r = r_1 \equiv M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2\cos^2\theta}. \tag{6}$$

The region of space time between the static limit and the event horizon is called ergosphere [1, 3]. On the frontier of the ergosphere the function

$$S(r,\theta) = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2\cos^2\theta \tag{7}$$

is zero, inside the ergosphere one has $S(r,\theta) < 0$.

Using the relation

$$S\,\Sigma^2 + 4M^2r^2a^2\sin^2\theta = \rho^4\Delta, \tag{8}$$

one can write the equations of geodesics for the Kerr's metric (1) (see [2], Sec. 62 or [3], Sec. 3.4.1) as

$$\rho^2\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(\Sigma^2 E - 2MraJ\right), \quad \rho^2\frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(2MraE + \frac{S\,J}{\sin^2\theta}\right), \tag{9}$$

$$\rho^2\frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \sigma_r\sqrt{R}, \qquad \rho^2\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = \sigma_\theta\sqrt{\Theta}, \tag{10}$$

$$R = \Sigma^2E^2 - \frac{S\,J^2}{\sin^2\theta} - 4MraEJ - \Delta\left[m^2\rho^2 + \Theta\right], \tag{11}$$

$$\Theta = Q - \cos^2\theta\left[a^2(m^2 - E^2) + \frac{J^2}{\sin^2\theta}\right]. \tag{12}$$

Here $E = \text{const}$ is the energy (relative to infinity) of the moving particle, J is the conserved angular momentum projection on the rotation axis, m is the rest mass of the particle, λ — the affine parameter along the geodesic. For the particle with $m \neq 0$ the parameter $\lambda = \tau/m$, where τ is the proper time. Q is the Carter constant. $Q = 0$ for the movement

in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$). The constants $\sigma_r, \sigma_\theta = \pm 1$ define the direction of movement on coordinates r, θ.

The equations of geodesics (9), (10) are identical to the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{g_{ik}}{2} \frac{dx^i}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^k}{d\lambda}. \tag{13}$$

The canonical momenta are

$$p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dx^i}{d\lambda}\right)} = g_{ik} \frac{dx^k}{d\lambda}, \tag{14}$$

$$p_t = E, \quad p_r = -\sigma_r \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\Delta}, \quad p_\theta = -\sigma_\theta \sqrt{\Theta}, \quad p_\varphi = -J. \tag{15}$$

It is easy to see that

$$p_i \, p_k \, g^{ik} = m^2. \tag{16}$$

Note that the obtained signs of the momentum components in (15) correspond to the signs of the covariant components of the 4-momentum in book [7], § 9: $p_i = (E, -\mathbf{p})$. The constance of p_t, p_φ i.e. E, J, on geodesics follows from the independence of the Kerr's metric (1) on t and the angle φ.

The interpretation of the conserved parameter E on the geodesic as the particle energy can be also obtained from the metrical energy-momentum tensor for the classical action of the point particle [8].

From (10) follows that the parameters characterizing any geodesic must satisfy the conditions

$$R \geq 0, \qquad \Theta \geq 0. \tag{17}$$

For the geodesic being the trajectory of the test particle moving outside the event horizon one must have the condition of movement "forward in time"

$$dt/d\lambda > 0. \tag{18}$$

The conditions (17), (18) lead to inequalities for possible values of the energy E and angular momentum projection J of the test particle at the point with coordinates (r, θ) with fixed value $\Theta \geq 0$ [9].

Outside the ergosphere $S(r, \theta) > 0$,

$$E \geq \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sqrt{(m^2 \rho^2 + \Theta) S}, \quad J \in \left[J_-(r, \theta), \; J_+(r, \theta) \right], \tag{19}$$

$$J_\pm(r, \theta) = \frac{\sin\theta}{S} \left[-2rMaE \sin\theta \pm \sqrt{\Delta \left(\rho^4 E^2 - (m^2 \rho^2 + \Theta) S \right)} \right]. \tag{20}$$

On the frontier of the ergosphere (for $\theta \neq 0, \pi$)

$$r = r_1(\theta) \quad \Rightarrow \quad E \geq 0, \tag{21}$$

$$J \leq E \left[\frac{M r_1(\theta)}{a} + a \sin^2\theta \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{2E^2} - \frac{\Theta}{4 M r_1(\theta) E^2} \right) \right]. \tag{22}$$

The value $E = 0$ is possible on the frontier of the ergosphere when $m = 0$, $\Theta = 0$. In this case one can have any value of $J < 0$.

Inside the ergosphere $r_H < r < r_1(\theta)$, $S < 0$

$$J \leq \frac{\sin\theta}{-S}\left[2rMaE\sin\theta - \sqrt{\Delta\left(\rho^4E^2 - (m^2\rho^2+\Theta)S\right)}\right]. \tag{23}$$

and the energy of the particle as it is known can be as positive as negative.

As it is seen from (22), (23) on the frontier and inside the ergosphere the angular momentum projection of particles moving along geodesics can be negative and it can be any large in absolute value number for the fixed value of the energy. This property first found in [9, 10] for the Kerr's metric (as it was shown later in [11] is valid in the ergosphere of any black hole with the axially symmetric metric.

If the the values of J and $\Theta \geq 0$ are given then from (17), (18) one has at any point outside the horizon

$$E \geq \frac{1}{\Sigma^2}\left[2MraJ + \sqrt{\Delta\left(\frac{\rho^4J^2}{\sin^2\theta} + (m^2\rho^2+\Theta)\,\Sigma^2\right)}\right]. \tag{24}$$

The lower frontier E corresponds to $R = 0$. As it is seen from (24) negative energies in ergosphere can be only in case of the negative value of J of the angular momentum of the particle.

3. Influence of the falling particles on the rotation of the black hole

In case of r approaching the horizon r_H one obtains (for $\theta \neq 0, \pi$) from (23) and (24) inequalities

$$J \leq J_H = \frac{2Mr_HE}{a}, \qquad E \geq \frac{aJ}{2Mr_H}. \tag{25}$$

So J_H is the upper frontier for the angular momentum projection with the energy E close to the event horizon of the black hole.

Let us use the first inequality in (25) to estimate the influence of the falling particles on the dimensionless angular momentum $A = a/M$ of the black hole. From the law of conservation of the energy-momentum one obtains for the dimensionless angular momentum of the black hole after the falling of the particle through the event horizon

$$A' = \frac{aM + J}{(M+E)^2}. \tag{26}$$

Then

$$A' - A = \frac{J - AE^2 - 2AME}{(M+E)^2} \leq \frac{J_H - AE^2 - 2AME}{(M+E)^2} = \frac{2E\left(M\frac{r_H}{a} - a\right) - E^2\frac{a}{M}}{(M+E)^2}. \tag{27}$$

Putting $A = 1$ into (27) and taking into account that in this case $r_H = a = M$ one proves the following suggestions.

Suggestion 1. *The falling of any particle on the extremal black hole $A = 1$ leads to the decrease of its dimensional angular momentum. It becomes nonextremal.*

This means that extremal black holes cannot exist in Nature! Note that the estimate [12] for the limiting angular momentum of the black hole in the process of accretion of matter on it is $a = 0.998M$.

Taking into account that for zero energy $J < 0$ and using the left part of the inequality (27) and for negative energy using right part of (27) one obtains

Suggestion 2. *The falling of any particle with zero or negative energy on the black hole with* $A \leq 1$ *leads to the decrease of its dimensionless angular momentum.*

For test particles ($|E|/M \ll 1$) with $J \approx J_H$

$$A' - A \approx \frac{2E\left(M\frac{r_H}{a} - a\right) - E^2\frac{a}{M}}{(M+E)^2} \approx \frac{2E\left(M\frac{r_H}{a} - a\right)}{(M+E)^2}. \tag{28}$$

So one has

Suggestion 3. *In the falling of test particles with positive energy and the angular momentum projection close to the maximal value of* J_H *on the nonextremal black hole* $A < 1$ *its dimensional angular momentum is increasing.*

Note that for the nonextremal black holes the possible values of J must be less than J_H (see for example [13]).

For the case of particles freely falling on the nonextremal black hole from the infinity let us prove.

Suggestion 4. *The dimensionless angular momentum of the nonextremal black hole can be always increased due to the free fall of some specially constrained particles from the infinity.*

The simple analysis of equations for geodesics makes possible to see that for the nonrelativistic particle on infinity $E = m$ the condition of the fall on the black hole from the infinity in equatorial plane is the inequality

$$-2(1+\sqrt{1+A}) \leq \frac{J}{mM} \leq 2(1+\sqrt{1-A}). \tag{29}$$

In case of the fall of test particles with $E = m \ll M$ and $J = 2(1+\sqrt{1-A})mM$,

$$A' - A = \frac{2(1+\sqrt{1-A})mM - Am^2 - 2AmM}{(M+m)^2} \approx \frac{2mM}{(M+m)^2}\left(1 - A + \sqrt{1-A}\right) > 0, \tag{30}$$

which proves the suggestion.

Note that due to the simplicity of the proof of all suggestions there is no need in use of laws of the black hole mechanics formulated in analogy with thermodynamics in the well known paper [14].

4. Particles with zero energy in the Kerr's metric.

In literature on black holes there is practically no discussion of properties of particles with zero relative to infinity energy. Here we shall discuss geodesics for such particles.

Values $E = 0$ are possible on the frontier and inside the ergosphere. From $\Theta \geq 0$ (see (17)) and (12) one obtains

$$E = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q \geq 0, \tag{31}$$

i.e. *for test particles with zero relative to infinity energy the Carter constant is non negative.*

From (18) and expression (9) for $dt/d\lambda$ one obtains

$$E = 0, \quad \rho^2 \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = -\frac{2rMaJ}{\Delta} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad J < 0, \tag{32}$$

i.e. *the angular momentum projection of particles with negative energy is negative.* From the inequality (23) one obtains for particles with zero energy inside ergosphere

$$E = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad J \leq \sin\theta \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\left(m^2\rho^2 + \Theta\right)}{-S}}. \tag{33}$$

One also obtains

$$E = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = -\Delta(m^2\rho^2 + \Theta) - \frac{J^2}{\sin^2\theta} S(r,\theta). \tag{34}$$

So *the upper point of the trajectory of massive particles with zero energy is located inside the ergosphere* $(r < r_1(\theta))$.

Movement along the coordinate θ can be prolonged up to the point with $\Theta(\theta)$ equal to zero. So in case $m = 0$ from (34) one obtains that *the upper point of the trajectory of massless particles with zero energy is located on the frontier of the ergosphere* $r_1(\theta)$.

From equations for geodesics it follows that

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{S(r)}{2rMa\sin^2\theta} = \frac{r^2 - 2rM + a^2\cos^2\theta}{2rMa\sin^2\theta}. \tag{35}$$

So *the angular velocity of any particle with zero energy does not depend on the value of mass and angular momentum of the particle and is defined by the formula (35).*

If the particle with zero energy approaches the frontier of the ergosphere (then it is necessary that $m = 0$) one has $S(r) = 0$ and

$$r \to r_1(\theta) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dt} \to 0. \tag{36}$$

The radial velocity of the particle with negative energy is

$$E = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\sigma_r \Delta}{2Mra} \sqrt{\frac{-S}{\sin^2\theta} - \Delta \frac{m^2\rho^2 + \Theta}{J^2}} \tag{37}$$

and in general depends on the angular momentum. Indeed in case $m^2\rho^2 + \Theta > 0$ at the point with given r in the ergosphere the radial velocity is the larger in absolute value the lager is $|J|$ and can vary from 0 at $J = -\sin\theta\sqrt{\Delta(m^2\rho^2 + \Theta)/(-S)}$ to

$$\frac{dr}{dt} \to \frac{\sigma_r \Delta\sqrt{-S}}{2Mra\sin\theta}, \qquad J \to -\infty. \tag{38}$$

The trajectory of photons in the equatorial plane ($m = 0$, $\Theta = 0$) does not depend on the value of angular momentum as it is seen from (9), (10). In polar coordinates the



Figure 1: The trajectories of photons in the ergosphere of the black hole with $a = M$ for cases a) $E = 0$, b) $E > 0$, $J = ME$.

equation of this trajectory can be expressed in elementary functions and is most simple for $a = M$:

$$\varphi(r) - \varphi(2M) = \pm \left(\arcsin\left(\frac{r}{M} - 1\right) + \frac{\sqrt{(2M - r)r}}{r - M} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \tag{39}$$

Part of this trajectory is shown on Fig. 1 (a), where the radial coordinate is used r/M. On Fig. 1 (b) the trajectory of the photon moving in equatorial plane on geodesic with positive energy and the angular momentum projection $J = ME$ is shown. The equation of this geodesic for $a = M$ is

$$\varphi(r) - \varphi(2M) = \pm \frac{2M - r}{r - M}. \tag{40}$$

These trajectories as well as trajectories of any particles intersecting the event horizon of the black hole rotate around the horizon infinitely many times. Really from the equations of geodesics (9), (10) it follows that in the vicinity of the horizon

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} \sim \frac{1}{\Delta} = \frac{1}{(r - r_H)(r - r_C)}. \tag{41}$$

Note that with exception of case $a = M$, $J = J_H > 0$ infinite number of rotations occurs for the massive particle in finite proper time!

Earlier [4] it was shown by us that geodesics with negative energy originate and terminate on r_H. Let us prove that *geodesics of particles with zero energy in the ergosphere originate and terminate on* r_H.

Define the effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{R}{2\rho^4}. \tag{42}$$

Due to (10)

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + V_{\text{eff}} = 0, \qquad \frac{d^2r}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr}. \tag{43}$$

The necessary condition of existence of orbits with constant r (spherical orbits) is

$$V_{\text{eff}} = 0, \qquad \frac{dV_{\text{eff}}}{dr} = 0\,. \tag{44}$$

To prove the suggestion it is sufficient to prove (see [4]) that

$$E=0,\quad r>r_H,\quad V_{\rm eff}(r)=0\quad\Rightarrow\quad V'_{\rm eff}(r)>0. \tag{45}$$

Taking the derivative of (34) one obtains

$$E=0\quad\Rightarrow\quad R'(r)=-2\left[(r-M)\left(\frac{J^2}{\sin^2\theta}+m^2\rho^2+\Theta\right)+m^2r\Delta\right], \tag{46}$$

from which it is evident that (45) is valid so that the suggestion is proved and also absence of spherical orbits for particles with zero energy follows.

Note that from (45) as it was shown in [4] the finiteness of the proper time of movement of particles with zero energy in the ergoshere (or the affine parameter λ for photons) follows.

As one can see from Fig. 1 there are photon trajectories with positive energies as well as for photons with negative energies which can be seen from analogous pictures visually very little different from the trajectory of the photon with zero energy. Let us investigate the problem what simple properties of movement define the difference of particles with negative, zero and positive relative to the infinity energy.

5. Angular velocity of particles in the ergosphere

Let us find limitations on the angular velocity of any particle in the ergosphere from the condition $ds^2\geq 0$. Then

$$g_{00}\,dt^2+2g_{0\varphi}\,dt\,d\varphi+g_{\varphi\varphi}\,d\varphi^2\geq 0 \tag{47}$$

and the angular velocity $\Omega=d\varphi/dt$ for any particle is in the limits [15]

$$\Omega_1\leq\Omega\leq\Omega_2,\qquad \Omega_{1,2}=\frac{g_{0\varphi}\mp\sqrt{g_{0\varphi}^2-g_{00}g_{\varphi\varphi}}}{-g_{\varphi\varphi}}. \tag{48}$$

On the frontier of the ergosphere $g_{00}=0$ and $\Omega_1=0$. Inside the ergosphere $g_{00}<0$ and $\Omega_{1,2}>0$ and all particles rotate in the direction of rotation of the black hole [1]–[3]. Approaching the event horizon one has

$$\lim_{r\to r_H}\Omega_1(r)=\lim_{r\to r_H}\Omega_2(r)=\omega_{\rm Bh}=\frac{a}{2Mr_H}. \tag{49}$$

The value $\omega_{\rm Bh}$ is called angular velocity of rotation of the black hole.

Putting the components of the metric (1) into (48) one obtains the limiting values of angular velocities for the rotating Kerr black hole

$$\Omega_{1,2}=\frac{2Mra\sin\theta\mp\rho^2\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sin\theta\,\Sigma^2}=\omega\mp\frac{\rho^2\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sin\theta\,\Sigma^2}. \tag{50}$$

From equations of geodesics one obtains for the angular velocity of freely moving particles

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt}=\frac{2MraE+\frac{SJ}{\sin^2\theta}}{\Sigma^2E-2MraJ}=\omega+\frac{\Delta\rho^4J}{\sin^2\theta\Sigma^2(\Sigma^2E-2MraJ)}. \tag{51}$$

From the limitation (23) one obtains for particles with negative energy

$$\frac{J}{EM} \geq \frac{\sin\theta}{-S(r)}\left[2ra\sin\theta + \frac{1}{M}\sqrt{\Delta\left[\rho^4 - \left(\frac{m^2}{E^2}\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta}{M^2E^2}\right)S(r)\right]}\right], \tag{52}$$

and for particles with positive energy in the ergosphere

$$\frac{J}{EM} \leq \frac{\sin\theta}{-S(r)}\left[2ra\sin\theta - \frac{1}{M}\sqrt{\Delta\left[\rho^4 - \left(\frac{m^2}{E^2}\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta}{M^2E^2}\right)S(r)\right]}\right]. \tag{53}$$

Putting boundary values of expressions (52), (53) for $m = 0$ and $\Theta = 0$ into (51) one obtains expressions for Ω_1 and Ω_2 (see (50)). Taking into account that $d\varphi/dt$ due to (51)is growing with the growth of $J/(EM)$ on each interval of continuity $(-\infty, \Sigma^2/(2M^2ra))$ and $(\Sigma^2/(2M^2ra), +\infty)$ one obtains the division of the region of possible angular velocities in the ergosphere on two regions: the lower for particles with negative energy and the upper one for particles with positive energy as it is shown on Fig. 2.



Figure 2: Regions of possible angular velocities of particles in ergosphere for $\theta = \pi/2$ and a) $a/M = 0.5$, b) $a/M = 1/\sqrt{2}$, c) $a/M = 0.95$, d) $a/M = 1$. The red region — angular velocities of particles with positive energy, the blue region — for particles with negative relative to infinity energy.

Going in (51) to the limit $|J/(EM)| \to \infty$ one obtains the expression (35). That is why the frontier of regions for particles with positive and negative energies is the line corresponding to the angular velocity of particles with zero energy. In case of rotation in equatorial plane this line is the direct line.

So the value of the angular velocity of the particle in the ergosphere for the given value of the radial coordinate uniquely shows the sign of the energy of the particle relative to

the infinity and the value of $J/(EM)$. Particles with zero energy are particles moving in ergosphere with angular velocity (35). They divide by the value of angular velocity of rotation at given r in the ergosphere particles with negative energies from particles with positive relative to infinity energy. *Particles with negative energy are particles rotating in the ergosphere with the angular velocity less than (35)!*

From formulas (50) it is easy to obtain that

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left.\frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial r}\right|_{r=2M} = \frac{1-2A^2}{(2+A^2)^2}. \tag{54}$$

So the line tangent to the graph of the function $\Omega_2(r)$ at the point $r = 2M$ (on the frontier of ergosphere) is the horizontal line for $A = 1/\sqrt{2}$ which is seen from Fig. 2 (b).

The light green line on Fig. 2 (c), (d) corresponds to circular equatorial orbits for massive particles and its limiting point on the frontier of possible angular velocities — to the circular photon orbit. Circular equatorial orbits in ergosphere are possible only for particles with positive energy and $A \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$. As it is known [2], [3], [16] the smallest possible value of the radius of the circular equatorial orbit for given A corresponds to the circular photon orbit with $J > 0$ and is equal to

$$r_+ = 2M\left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}\arccos\frac{-a}{M}\right)\right]. \tag{55}$$

6. The energy of collisions of particles in the centre of mass frame

The energy in the centre of mass frame $E_{\text{c.m.}}$ of two colliding particles with rest masses m_1 and m_2 is found by putting in square the formula

$$(E_{\text{c.m.}}, 0\,, 0\,, 0\,) = p^i_{(1)} + p^i_{(2)}, \tag{56}$$

where $p^i_{(n)}$ — 4-momenta of particles $(n = 1, 2)$. Due to $p^i_{(n)} p_{(n)i} = m_n^2$ one obtains

$$E^2_{\text{c.m.}} = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2p^i_{(1)} p_{(2)i}. \tag{57}$$

For free falling particles with energies E_1 and E_2 (relative to infinity) and angular momenta J_1, J_2 from equations of geodesics one obtains

$$E^2_{\text{c.m.}} = m_1^2 + m_2^2 - \frac{2}{\rho^2}\sigma_{1\theta}\sigma_{2\theta}\sqrt{\Theta_1\Theta_2} + \\ + \frac{2}{\Delta\rho^2}\left[E_1E_2\Sigma^2 - 2Mra(E_1J_2 + E_2J_1) - J_1J_2\frac{S}{\sin^2\theta} - \sigma_{1r}\sigma_{2r}\sqrt{R_1R_2}\right]. \tag{58}$$

If particles move in radial coordinate in one direction ($\sigma_{1r}\sigma_{2r} = 1$) one obtains in the limit $r \to r_H$ by solving in the last term in (58) the indeterminacy of the form $0/0$, for collisions on the horizon

$$E^2_{\text{c.m.}} = m_1^2 + m_2^2 - \frac{2}{\rho^2}\sigma_{1\theta}\sigma_{2\theta}\sqrt{\Theta_{1H}\Theta_{2H}} + \left(m_1^2 + \frac{\Theta_{1H}}{\rho_H^2}\right)\frac{J_{2H} - J_2}{J_{1H} - J_1} + \\ + \left(m_2^2 + \frac{\Theta_{2H}}{\rho_H^2}\right)\frac{J_{1H} - J_1}{J_{2H} - J_2} + \frac{\rho_H^2}{4M^2r_H^2\sin^2\theta}\frac{(J_{1H}J_2 - J_{2H}J_1)^2}{(J_{1H} - J_1)(J_{2H} - J_2)}. \tag{59}$$

If one of the particles has the angular momentum projection $J = J_H$ (the critical particle) and the other particle has $J \neq J_H$ then the energy of collisions is divergent on the horizon. For extremal rotating black holes this was found in the paper of Bañados-Silk-West [17]. For nonextremal black holes in the vicinity of the horizon $J = J_H$ is not possible however in case of multiple collisions it is possible [18, 19] to have J very close to J_H for $r \to r_H$.

Very large energy of collisions can be obtained if due to multiple collisions or external field the particle has large in absolute value but negative angular momentum projection [9, 10] for the fixed value of the particle energies due to (23).

The energy of direct collisions ($\sigma_{1r}\sigma_{2r} = -1$) is divergent on the horizon

$$E^2_{\text{c.m.}} \sim \frac{4a^2}{\Delta\rho^2}(J_{1H} - J_1)(J_{2H} - J_2) \to \infty, \quad r \to r_H, \tag{60}$$

if $J_i \neq J_{iH}$. This way of getting ultrahigh energy is possible for particles one of which moves along white hole geodesic [20, 21].

Formulas (56)–(60) are valid for any colliding particles as with positive as with negative (relative to infinity). For any value of the energies of particles the energy in the centre of mass frame satisfies the inequality

$$E_{\text{c.m.}} \geq m_1 + m_2, \tag{61}$$

because colliding particles in the centre of mass frame are moving one to another with some velocities. All three ways of getting unboundedly high energy of collisions are also possible for particles with negative (zero)energy.

The results of calculations of the energy of collisions of two particles with equal masses m one falling from the infinity on the black hole with $a = 0.95M$ with the other particle with positive, zero and negative energy are shown on Fig. 3. For given value of the angular



Figure 3: The energy of collision of the particle with $E = m$ and $J = 0$ with the second particle with $J = -16.487mM$ with the energy $E_2 = -m$ (the curve **1**), $E_2 = 0$ (the curve **2**), $E_2 = m$ (the curve **3**).

momentum projection $J \approx -16,487mM$ the radial component of the particle velocity with negative energy is equal to zero in the black hole with $a = 0.95M$ at the point $r = 1,8M$. That is why the energy of collision in this case is maximal. Other particles fall with nonzero radial velocity in the interval $r \in (M, 1,8M)$ and the energy of collisions is smaller.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant No. 15-02-06818-a.

References

[1] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).

[2] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1983).

[3] I. D. Novikov, V. P. Frolov, *Physics of Black Holes* [in Russian] (Nauka, Moscow, 1986); V. P. Frolov, I. D. Novikov, *Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments*, (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998).

[4] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, V. D. Vertogradov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29** (2014), 1450110. Geodesics with negative energy in the ergosphere of rotating black holes.

[5] R. P. Kerr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11**:5 (1963), 237–238.
Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics.

[6] R. H. Boyer, R. W. Lindquist, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**:2 (1967), 265–281.
Maximal analytic extension of the Kerr metric.

[7] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1983).

[8] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **20**, No. 5 (2011) 675–684.
Particles with negative energies in black holes.

[9] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Europhys. Lett.* **101** (2013), 20004.
On the energy of particle collisions in the ergosphere of the rotating black holes.

[10] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Teor. Matem. Fiz.* **176** (2013), 60–68 [English transl.: *Theor. Math. Phys.* **176**, 881–887 (2013)]. Collision energy of particles in the ergosphere of rotating black holes.

[11] O. B. Zaslavskii, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28**, No. 11 (2013), 1350037.
Acceleration of particles as a universal property of ergosphere.

[12] K. S. Thorne, *Astrophys. J.* **191** (1974), 507–519.
Disk-accretion onto a black hole. II. Evolution of the hole.

[13] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **17**:1 (2011), 42–46.
On particles collisions near rotating black holes.

[14] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31** (1973), 161–170.
The four laws of black hole mechanics.

[15] A. P. Lightman, W. H. Press, R. H. Price, S. A. Teukolsky, *Problem Book in Relativity and Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1975).

[16] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **178**, (1972), 347–369.
Rotating black holes: locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation.

[17] M. Bañados, J. Silk, S. M. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**:11 (2009), 111102.
Kerr black holes as particle accelerators to arbitrarily high energy.

[18] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *JETP Letters* **92**, 125–129 (2010).
On the collisions between particles in the vicinity of rotating black holes.

[19] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Astropart. Phys.* **34**:7 (2011), 581–586.
On particle collisions in the gravitational field of the Kerr black hole.

[20] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **21**, No. 1 (2015), 13–18.
Are black holes totally black?

[21] A. A. Grib, Yu. V. Pavlov, *Teor. Matem. Fiz.* **185**:1 (2015), 77–85. [English transl.: *Theor. Math. Phys.* **185**, 1425–1432 (2015)].
High energy physics in the vicinity of rotating black holes.